EXHIBIT A

```
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
1
           FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH, CENTRAL DIVISION
2
3
                              -00000-
                                : CIVIL NO. 2:14-cv-375
 4
     JOSHUA CHATWIN,
                                 : 30(b)(6) DEPOSITION OF:
5
            Plaintiff,
                                   DRAPER CITY POLICE
 6
     V.
                                   REPRESENTED BY DEPUTY
     DRAPER CITY; DRAPER CITY : POLICE CHIEF JOHN EINING
7
     POLICE DEPARTMENT;
     OFFICER J. PATTERSON, in : TAKEN: December 8, 2015
 8
     his individual and
                                : Judge Dale A. Kimball
 9
     official capacity;
     OFFICER HEATHER BAUGH,
10
     in her individual and
     official capacity;
     OFFICER DAVID HARRIS, in
11
     his individual and
12
     official capacity;
     OFFICER KURT IMIG, in
     his individual capacity;
13
     SUPERVISOR TBA; and JOHN
     DOES 1-10,
14
            Defendants.
15
16
17
                              -00000-
18
              30(b)(6) Deposition of DRAPER CITY POLICE,
19
     represented by Deputy Police Chief John Eining, taken
20
     on behalf of the plaintiff, at 201 South Main Street,
21
     Suite 1300, Salt Lake City, Utah, before PHOEBE S.
22
     MOORHEAD, Certified Shorthand Reporter for the State
23
24
     of Utah, pursuant to Notice.
25
```

2.3

```
to stop the threat that's coming at you. And that's what we train our guys, regardless of what the threat might be.
```

So if it's a guy in handcuffs, and he's acting out, or he's being threatening, you have to evaluate that. And then you have to act with a reasonable force that is necessary to stop the threat.

- Q. Okay. Well, what about the opposite ways?
  When I'm talking about Paragraph 9 here, you know, we're talking about the safety. What if he's -- you're saying when he's acting out. What if he's not? What if he's immobilized and compliant, for instance?
  - A. Then there would be no reason to use force.
- Q. How about number -- when we look at Paragraph No. 10. What we're looking for is "Who in Draper City, since May 1, 2010, has made the final decision to create these policies, procedures, training, practices and/or customs of the police department on the use of force?"
- A. So from May 2010 to May 2013, I'm assuming that it would be Chief Mac Connole that has the final say on all policies. From 2013 to the present, it's Chief Roberts makes the final decision.
  - Q. Do you have any input into those policies?
- A. I do. So my job is to -- periodically, Lexipol will go through, and they do policy updates.

```
these policies from 2010 to Lexipol in 2013?
1
              Yes. The decision had to be the chief of
2
3
    police.
              Who was the chief of police at the time?
4
          0.
             In 2013?
5
          Α.
             Yes.
          0.
6
              It was Brian Roberts. Let me qualify that for
          Α.
    just a minute. He became the chief of police in August
8
    of 2013, so not the entire year of 2013.
9
             Okay. Thank you. So anything else about --
10
          Q.
    about Lexipol and its purpose?
11
              MR. HAMILTON: Objection. Form.
12
              THE WITNESS: Not that -- not that I'm --
13
14
    BY MS. MARCY:
          Q. That was a bad question. What I mean is do
15
    you -- any further information about -- as far as
16
    Paragraph 8 here -- as far as why the policies were
17
    changed to use Lexipol services?
18
          A. No.
19
             Going back to -- we were talking about the use
20
          0.
    of force. I just want to be sure -- the use of force in
21
    Paragraph 1. We talked about the years 2010, 2011,
22
    2012, 2013. I'm just asking, for 2014 and 2015, did
23
    they -- did those policies change?
2.4
          A. Policy numbers changed. I don't believe
25
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
For use of force?
     Α.
      Q.
          Right.
          From 2010 to 2015?
     Α.
      Q.
         Right.
         We have brought in some people. For example,
     Α.
just last year, we brought in some SWAT members from the
Los Angeles County Sheriff's Office that gave us some
instruction on use of force. I know when Chief Roberts
first came here, he also brought out some instructors
from California to train our SWAT team members.
          There is occasion where we do what's called
"outside training," where the officers have the ability
to put in for outside training. And that outside
training could have covered use of force topics. I'm
just not specifically sure what those vendors were, or
what specifically those training requests were. But all
```

Q. So -- but I'm talking about any training, so including third-party here. So when you talk about the outside training, do you know how long Draper -- the police department -- the Draper City Police Department has used outside training at times?

in-house training, I think we've covered.

A. I think -- yeah. Outside training has always been available. From 2010 to 2015, I'm sure the officers have always had the opportunity to put in for

```
1
    and then you start eliminating the options there.
          0.
              Where was that policy in 2010?
2
 3
              Where was that policy in 2010?
          Α.
          Q.
              About discipline.
 4
              I think it comes under -- let me see if I can
 5
    find it again. "Complaints Against Personnel," I think
 6
    there's something in there about that. So baseline
7
    2957. That's going to be pages 48 and 57.
 8
              And let me see if there's something else that
 9
    talks about -- again, I don't --
10
              Are you reading off of one of the exhibits?
11
          0.
              Exhibit 12. I think it's in that one. Now,
12
          Α.
    specifically, when you say -- I don't think, in this
13
    policy, or the policy from 2013 to 2015, it talks about,
14
    specifically, what the range of punishment might be.
15
    That's discretionary, based on what the chief of police
16
    decides. I'm saying that that's what's available to
17
    him. It's not necessarily a policy that -- there could
18
    be a verbal recommend; there could be documented
19
    counseling; there could a letter of reprimand or
20
    suspension, whatever. That's not specifically outlined.
21
              What the policy does dictate is how the
22
    investigations are going to be conducted and what the
23
    different findings could be, whether it's going to be
24
    exonerated, substantiated, unsubstantiated, unfounded,
25
```

```
suspect. It could even include another officer.
1
    officer witnesses something, it could be an internal
2
3
    complaint that comes forward. And therefore, we go
    through that exact same process.
4
          Q. Is that process considered mandatory?
5
    other words, if it's not the person who's injured, but
6
7
    it's a witness who says there was -- who makes an
    allegation of excessive force, does the police
8
    department have to investigate that allegation?
9
             I say, yes, policy dictates you do.
10
          Α.
              Okay. What about those use of force review
11
             We talked -- you talked a little about that.
12
    You said they didn't exist in 2010. When did they begin
13
14
    to exist?
              I believe it was in 2013 that they started.
          Α.
15
              Why -- why -- maybe you can tell me the
16
          0.
    details. Who started it? Why?
17
              Chief Roberts started it.
18
          Α.
              And why did they -- why did he start these
19
          0.
20
    review boards?
              I would dare not try to speculate on what he
21
    was thinking at the time.
22
              Well, but as a designee as Draper City, do you
23
    know why?
24
          A. Well, I think -- no.
25
```